




Objective Proof of God's Existence and the Insufficiency of the Theory of Evolution to Account for DNA

FIRST RUNG

Each rung of the DNA ladder can have one set of two specific molecules. These molecules are represented by different color combinations.* Each rung of the DNA ladder can be either black-yellow or yellow-black; or it can be red-blue or blue-red, but the two kinds cannot mix. Each rung has 4 possibilities (sequences).

Each rung has 4 possible sequences.




Sequences are everything in DNA because DNA is a code (a language) and the sequences within each rung and the sequences between the rungs can change. The rungs do not move but the molecules in the rungs change to convey different meanings. For example "on" means one thing but "no" means another.

This means one thing



But this means something entirely different




SECOND RUNG

When we add the second rung, we not only add 4 sequences, but we also add the possibility of each sequence having its own sequence with the other rung. Each one of the 4 sequences in each rung can themselves be sequenced and each new rung adds 4 sequences for each of the existing sequences.

Therefore, the number of total sequences with the second rung added is 4 times the number of previously existing sequences.

There were 4 sequences in the first rung and 4 sequences in the second rung, so the number of possible sequences in both rungs is $4 \times 4 = 16$.

There are possible 4 sequences for each existing sequence




The formula is 4^x where x = the number of existing rungs.

THIRD RUNG

If we add a third rung, we now have 4 sequences for each one of the existing 16 sequences. Therefore, to find the number of different sequences for the third rung, we take the number of existing sequences (16) and multiply it by 4. We now have 64 possible sequences; and the chances are 1 in 64 that random chance mutations could correctly code 3 rungs. These are the 64 possibilities.

There are 20 amino acids and each one of them is coded with 3 rungs. A group of 3 rung is called a cordon.



Amino Acids

The chances of randomly coding 1 cordon is 1 in 64 because there are 3 rungs in each cordon. The chances are 1 in 4096 to correctly code for 2 cordons because there are 4 new sequences for each of the existing sequences in the existing rungs and there are 3 new rungs: $4 \times 64 = 256$, $4 \times 256 = 1024$ and $4 \times 1024 = 4096$.

To code for 3 cordons (9 rungs), there is 1 chance 1 in 262144: $4 \times 4096 = 16384$, $4 \times 16384 = 65536$ and $4 \times 65536 = 262144$. For 4 cordons, there is 1 chance in 16,777,216. For 5, 1 chance in 1,073,741,824.

The numbers increase exponentially because mutations do not work in tandem; they work randomly. Random mutations have just as much chance of ruining the code for the amino acid leucine as writing the code for valine. So, the more rungs there are, the less likely it will be that

random chance will code them all correctly. According to evolution, chance and chance alone writes DNA code. Survival of the fittest weeds out the the weak but it cannot code DNA.

- The chance of evolution coding just 8 cordons of amino acids (24 rungs) is 1 in 281,474,976,710,656 or one try every 10 minutes for 4 billion years—and an entire generation is required for each try. Even if there were 1000 acceptable ways to code these 8 amino acids, the chances would still be 1 in 281,474,976,710.

The chance that mutations coded 20 cordons of amino acids is statistically 0 and there are a billion cordons. Yet, despite this evidence, evolution still teaches that its amazing mutations discovered the formulas for 20,000 proteins, devised a language to write them and inscribed all 20,000 formulas upon a molecule. This is simply not a rational conclusion.

The reality is that the scientists who truly perceive the immensity of what has been written into the DNA molecule don't believe in evolution because it is rational or even because it is scientific. They believe in evolution because the alternative is not acceptable to them. For them, a Creator will never exist no matter what the evidence is. Their science is not based upon objective observation but upon a theological belief.

"There is no emperical evidence of the existance of God!" They say. "Therefore evolution must be true."

But emperical evidence for the existence of God *does* exist. It is in the numbers. The coding of 3 billion rungs of DNA could never have happened through chance.

It was scripted.

*The colors represent the 4 DNA bases. Black=U, yellow=C, red=A, blue=G